

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Robert Taft et al.
Serial No.: 10/772,993
Confirmation No.: 5388
Filed: February 5, 2004
For: METHODS AND SYSTEM FOR MANAGING MOUSE COLONIES
Examiner: J. A. Plucinski
Art Unit: 3629

Certificate of Electronic Filing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.8(a)(4).

Dated: May 11, 2009

Signature: Crena Pacheco

(Crena Pacheco)

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Madam:

This is a Reply to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed March 10, 2009, requiring election of a group of claims and a subspecies for prosecution on the merits. Applicant is filing concurrently a Petition for a one-month extension of time and authorization to pay the required extension fee.

Applicant is required to elect one of two groups of claims. Applicant hereby elects Group I, claims 1-28, for prosecution. Because Group I claims is elected, Applicant is also required to elect a species from those set out on page 4 of the Action. The species are set out in such a way that it is not immediately clear what is needed, but the undersigned has responded as she believes is required. For Species A, Applicant elects subspecies ii, Claim 11 (the live progeny is shipped). The species election is made with the understanding that it is required for searching purposes only and that all species will be considered, should the generic claim be found allowable under 37 C.F.R. §1.141(a).